

Southeast Environmental Research Center

WATER QUALITY MONITORING PROJECT FOR DEMONSTRATION OF CANAL REMEDIATION METHODS FLORIDA KEYS

Preliminary Report #3: Assessment of Canal Remediation Methods Canal using Water Quality Data Before and After Remediation

May 6, 2016



Presented to: Water Quality Program Canal Restoration Advisory Committee

Henry O. Briceño, Alexandra Serna, Michael Absten, Sandro Stumpf, James Duquesnel



Objective

 To provide data needed to make unbiased, statistically rigorous statements about the status and temporal trends of water quality parameters in the remediated canals

Conceptual model

The execution of the project includes two phases:

- 1) Before remediation
- 2) After remediation

Water quality testing parameters

- Vertical profiles
- Continuous 24-hour recording (Diels) of physical-chemical data:

Cliptopeo de la composition de

%DO (Dissolved Oxygen) sat exceedances calculations: % readings below 42% saturation in a full day of diel data

• Water sampling for total nutrients analysis



Demonstration canals included in this report



- Canal #29 in Key Largo. Backfilled to reduce canal depth
- Canal #137 in Plantation Key. A weed barrier was installed to prevent input of wrack

• Canal #472 in Geiger Key. A culvert was installed to enhance circulation

FIU photo by J. Duquesnel – Canal #472

Water quality criteria

- 62-302.533 DO (Dissolved Oxygen) criteria for Class III Waters
- 62-302.532 Estuary-Specific Criterion for Total Phosphorus (TP) and Total Nitrogen (TN), by biogeochemical subdivisions of South Florida coastal and estuarine waters (Briceno et al, 2013)



Canal #29. Remediation technology: Backfilling. Completed Jul-15



⁺ A full day of diel data consist of 24 hours of measurements collected every 10 min

- Surface waters in compliance during the whole monitoring period
- Post-remediation surveys showed %DO saturation in compliance in shallower new bottom waters

Canal #29. Remediation technology: Backfilling. Completed Jul-15



- First post-remediation survey rendered TP concentrations out of compliance
- Surface and Bottom TN concentrations in compliance after remediation

Canal #137. Remediation technology: Weed gate installation. Completed Nov-14



Second post-remediation survey showed both surface and bottom waters %DO saturation in compliance

Canal #137. Remediation technology: Weed gate installation. Completed Nov-14

				SHORT TERI	LONG TERM		
			Pre-remediation		Post-remediation		
	CRITERIA	Depth	1-Apr-14	14-Sep-14	30-Jun-15	7-Feb-16	
ТР	less than 0.007 ppm	S	0.028	0.010 🔻	0.019 🔺	0.020 🔺	
		В	0.027	0.010 🔻	0.018 🔺	0.019 🔺	
TN	less than 0.22 ppm	S	0.12	0.35	0.20 🔻	0.37	No definitive
		В	0.12	0.34	0.19 🔻	0.28	improving trend yet
	LEGEND						
	Stable within favorable range		Sta	ble within ne			
	Declining within favorable range		V De	clining within			
	Improving within favorable range		🔺 Inc	reasing withir			

- Post-remediation surveys rendered TP concentrations out of compliance
- Surface and Bottom TN concentrations returned to out of compliance in Feb-16

Canal #472. Remediation technology: Culvert installation. Completed May-15 and was closed shortly after



Post-remediation surveys showed %DO saturation in compliance and a return to values out of compliance in bottom waters after the culvert was closed

Canal #472. Remediation technology: Culvert installation. Completed May-15 and was closed shortly after



- Post-remediation surveys rendered TP concentrations out of compliance
- TN concentrations in bottom water have bounced in and out of compliance



Southoast Environm

Score cards by canal

http://serc.fiu.edu/wqmnetwork/Canals/index.htm

Canal #29

Canal #137

Canal #472

× The image part with relati		ł	nttp://serc.fiu	ı.edu/wqmnet	work/Canals	/index.htm	30	Research Center
Canal #29 Backfillin	9 (Key Largo): ng		SHORT TERM Pre-remediation		Post-remediation		LONG TERM	COMMENTS Summary
	CRITERIA De	epth	31-Mar-14	16-Oct-14	4-Feb-16	28-Apr-16		Remediation technology: Backfilling. Completed Jul-15
No more than 10% of the daily measured values should fall below S 42 %DO saturation†		s	0%	0%	0%	0% 🔷 🕨		Surface waters in compliance during the whole monitoring period
		в	43%	100%	0% 🔻	0% 🔷 🕨		Post-remediation surveys showed %DO saturation in compliance in shallower new bottom waters
TP	less than 0.007 ppm	S B	0.021	0.004 🔻	0.043			First post-remediation survey rendered TP concentrations out of compliance
TN	less than 0.58 ppm	s	0.28	0.62	0.51 🔻			Surface and Bottom TN concentrations in compliance after remediation
		в	0.39	0.59 🗕	0.51.		N	o definitive improving trend yet
Criteria	Based on 62-302.533 Dissolve 302.532 Estuary-Specific Crite Manatee Bay-Barnes Sound s	ed oxygen erion for T segment o	(DO) criteria for Cl otal Phosphorus (1 f Biscayne Bay	lass III Waters and c TP) and Total Nitrog	on 62- gen (TN),	LEGEND	↓	Stable within favorable range
LONG TERM	Linear trend for whole period	lofrecord						Declining within Tavorable range
SHORT TERM	Relative position of last surve	≥y						Improving within favorable range
Depth	Water sample depth							Stable within negative range
S: Surface water	Measurements ~ 2 ft below w	ice				▼	Declining within negative range	
B: Bottom water	Measurements ~ 1ft above ca	anal botto	m		Unda	te May 5 2	016 🔺	Increasing within negative range
† A full day of diel o	data consist of 24 hours of mea	surement	s collected every 1	10 minutes	υρυα	(c. may J, Z)	010	

WATER QUALITY MONITORING PROJECT FOR DEMONSTRATION OF CANAL REMEDIATION METHODS